3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

FILED Amy Hunley CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT 06/21/2019 1:03PM BY: BACOSTA DEPUTY

Case No.: S0200CV201900255 HON: TERRY BANNON

Gail Barsky (No. 028257)
J. Blake Mayes (No. 024159)

MAYESTELLES PLLC
3636 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1000
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
Telephone: 602.714.7900
Facsimile: 602.357.3037
courts@mayestelles.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF COCHISE STATE OF ARIZONA

CHRISTINA ARVIZU, for herself and for all statutory beneficiaries,

No.

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

JANICE WILSON and JOHN DOE WILSON, husband and wife; ABC CORPORATION and/or PARTNERSHIPS, I-X, fictitious entities, JOHN and JANE DOES I-X, fictitious individuals,

Defendants.

For her Complaint against Defendant, Plaintiff alleges as follows:

PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

- 1. Plaintiff Christina Arvizu is an individual domiciled and residing in Cochise County, Arizona.
- 2. Christina Arvizu is the surviving mother of Decedent Jose Daniel Arvizu (Mr. Arvizu).
- 3. Plaintiff Christina Arvizu brings this action on behalf of herself and all statutory beneficiaries of Mr. Arvizu pursuant to A.R.S. §12-611, et seq.
- 4. On information and belief, Defendant Janice Wilson and John Doe Wilson are husband and wife residing in Cochise County, Arizona.

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Plaintiff is not currently aware of the true identities of ABC CORPORATION 5. and/or PARTNERSHIPS, I-X, or JOHN and JANE DOES I-X. When Plaintiff discovers their true identities, she will amend this Complaint accordingly.
- All actions taken by the individual Defendants material hereto were taken by, 6. for, and on behalf of their marital communities.
 - 7. Jurisdiction and venue are proper in this court.

BACKGROUND ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- 8. On June 22, 2017, Mr. Arvizu was shot and killed at or near 4110 E. Sagebrush Rd. in Sierra Vista, Arizona, by Mr. Roger Wilson.
- 9. On information and belief, the firearm used to kill Mr. Arvizu was owned by and/or registered to Defendant Janice Wilson ("the Firearm").
 - 10. Roger Wilson is Defendant Janice Wilson's son.
 - 11. Defendant Janice Wilson provided the Firearm to Roger Wilson.
 - 12. Roger Wilson was a prohibited possessor pursuant to A.R.S. § 13-3101.
- 13. Defendant Janice Wilson knew or had reason to know that Roger Wilson was a prohibited possessor.
 - 14. Roger Wilson's use of the Firearm resulted in the death of Mr. Arvizu.
- As a result, Mr. Arvizu's statutory beneficiaries were injured and suffered 15. damages in an amount to be proven at trial including, but not limited to, the loss of Mr. Arvizu's love, affection, consortium, medical bills, pain and suffering, and emotional distress.

COUNT ONE

(Negligence Per Se Against Defendants Wilson)

- Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference all allegations contained in this 16. Complaint as if stated herein again in full.
- Defendant Janice Wilson owned and/or controlled the Firearm at the time she 17. provided the Firearm to Mr. Roger Wilson.
 - 18. Roger Wilson was a prohibited possessor pursuant to A.R.S. § 13-3101.

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3

24

25

26

27

28

1

- 19. Defendant Janice Wilson had knowledge that Roger Wilson intended to use the Firearm to harm others, including Mr. Arvizu.
- 20. Defendant Janice Wilson facilitated the use of the Firearm by Roger Wilson, resulting in harm to Mr. Arvizu, in violation of A.R.S. § 13-1004.
- 21. Defendant Janice Wilson provided the Firearm to Roger Wilson, a prohibited possessor, in violation of A.R.S. § 13-3102.
- 22. Further, Defendant Janice Wilson provided the Firearm to Mr. Roger Wilson in violation of the federal Gun Control Act, specifically 18 U.S.C. § 922(d).
- 23. Mr. Arvizu's death was a direct and proximate result of Defendant Janice Wilson's actions causing Plaintiff to suffer injuries in an amount to be proven at trial.

COUNT TWO

(Negligent Entrustment Against Defendants Wilson)

- 24. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference all allegations contained in this Complaint as if stated herein again in full.
- 25. Defendant Janice Wilson owned and/or controlled the Firearm at the time she provided the Firearm to Roger Wilson.
- 26. Defendant Janice Wilson provided the Firearm to her son, Roger Wilson, who was a prohibited possessor pursuant to A.R.S. § 13-3101.
- 27. Defendant Janice Wilson knew or had reason to know that Roger Wilson was a prohibited possessor.
- 28. Defendant Janice Wilson knew or had reason to know that Roger Wilson was likely to use the Firearm in a manner involving unreasonable risk of physical harm to himself and/or others.
- 29. Mr. Arvizu's death was a direct and proximate result of Roger Wilson's use of the Firearm and Defendant Janice Wilson's entrustment of the Firearm to him.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief against Defendants as follows:

A. For judgment in her favor on all counts of the Complaint;

1			
1	B. For ge	eneral compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial;	
2	C. For sp	ecial damages in an amount to be proven at trial by jury;	
3	D. For co	osts pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-341;	
4	E. For pr	re- and post-judgment interest on the foregoing; and	
5	F. For su	ich other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper under the	
6	circumstances.		
7	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
8	Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable.		
9	DATED this 21st day of June, 2019.		
10)	MAYESTELLES PLLC	
11			
12	2	By: <u>/s/ Gail Barsky</u> Gail Barsky J. Blake Mayes	
13	3	J. Blake Mayes Attorneys for Plaintiff	
14	↓		
15	5		
16	5		
17	7		
18	3		
19			
20)		
21			
22	2		
23	3		
24	 		
25	5		
26	5		
27	7		
28	3		
- 1	11		